

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Ex Parte Quayle Office Action of November 1, 2005, having been carefully considered, and in view of the amendments previously made to the claims, the new replacement drawings, the substitute specification, and the new abstract, and the following representations, reconsideration of the above identified patent application in its present form is respectfully requested.

Claims 48-51 remain in this application. Claims 1-47 have been cancelled.

The Examiner has acknowledged that claims 48-51 appear allowable.

Claims 48-51 remain as previously presented. Although the claims have not been amended in response to the Office Action of Nov. 1, 2005, the claims have been represented herein to further aid in expediting the case.

The Examiner has acknowledged that this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters.

Priority (Pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action)

The priority claim has been reviewed and corrected.

The specification has been amended to include the corrected priority claim, as follows:

"This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/664,406, filed June 17, 1996, which is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/116,249, filed September 2, 1993, now U.S. Patent No. 5,527,033, dated June 18, 1996,

which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 07/579,410, filed September 7, 1990, now abandoned."

Drawings/Appendix (Pages 3 and 4 of the Office Action)

Applicant has submitted a complete set of formal drawings herein for review and approval.

FIGS. 19-22 have been replaced with FIGS. 19A-19F, 20A-20B, 21A-21B, and 22A-22D, respectively, in order to conform to USPTO standard drawing practice. Applicant has amended the specification, accordingly. FIG. 23 remains one sheet.

The new drawing sheets include no new matter.

Applicant has amended the specification, and represented the appendices as additional pages to the end of the specification.

The specification includes no new matter.

Information Disclosure Statement (Page 4 of the Office Action)

Applicant has included a copy of the prior PTO 1449 listing with this response to the Office Action.

Applicant has checked the reference to Sobotka, et al., the reference having been made to U.S. Patent No. 5,345,930, such reference apparently having been made in error. Applicant has corrected the specification, accordingly. Applicant has, however, left the

prior PTO 1449 intact, as the prior PTO 1449 was part of the record of the prior patent application.

Specification (Pages 4 and 5 of the Office Action)

A substitute specification has been filed herewith.

The specification has been amended to: correct the priority claim, make reference to the replacement sheets of formal drawings, correct the reference to Sobotka, et al., consolidate prior amendments, and facilitate processing and printing.

The specification has been replaced with the attached substitute specification, which is submitted pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125. The substitute specification includes no new matter.

The original abstract has been cancelled and replaced with the attached new abstract having improved language.

The new abstract includes no new matter

The specification also includes previously presented claims 48-51, as per the Examiner's instructions.

Favorable consideration of the previously presented claims, the enclosed drawings, the substitute specification, and the new abstract is respectfully requested.

No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/Harvey Lunenfeld/
Harvey Lunenfeld
Registration No. 26,000

Harvey Lunenfeld
8 Patrician Drive
E. Northport, New York 11731
(631) 754-1000